

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
2 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
3 Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
4 Telephone (702) 949-8320

5 Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
6 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
7 John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
8 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
9 Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
10 Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

11 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

12 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 In re:

15 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
16 COMPANY,

17 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
18 LLC,<sup>1</sup>

19 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
20 DEED FUND, LLC,

21 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
22 FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

23 USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup> Debtors.

24 **Affects:**

25  All Debtors  
26  USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
27  USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC  
28  USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
29  USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
30  USA Securities, LLC

31 Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
32 Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
33 Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
34 Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
35 Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

36 **CHAPTER 11**

37 Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
38 BK-S-06-10725 LBR

39 **SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
40 OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
41 CLAIM BASED IN PART UPON  
42 INVESTMENT IN THE  
43 BROOKMERE MATTESON LOAN**

44 **Date of Hearing: August 30, 2011**  
45 **Time of Hearing: 10:30 a.m.**  
46 **Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.**

47 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant  
48 to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and  
49 Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an  
50 order disallowing in part the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims

51 <sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

52 <sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

53 <sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

1 are based upon an investment in a loan to Brookmere, LLC and Lord & Essex Matteson,  
2 LLC (together, the “Borrowers”). This loan was sometimes referred to as the “Brookmere  
3 Matteson Loan” and that is how the USACM Trust will refer to it here. These claims were  
4 filed by investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
5 (“USACM”) based upon investments in multiple loans, including a loan to the Borrowers.  
6 To the extent the claims are based upon investments in other USACM loans they will not  
7 be affected by this objection. This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the  
8 Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus  
9 Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Brookmere Matteson  
10 Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

11 THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE  
12 DIRECT LENDERS’ RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE BROOKMERE  
13 MATTESON LOAN, SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE  
14 OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE BROOKMERE MATTESON LOAN, OR  
15 SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR FOR THE  
16 LOAN.

17 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

18 **I. BACKGROUND FACTS**

19 **a. The USACM Bankruptcy**

20 On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief  
21 under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as  
22 debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.  
23 Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J.  
24 Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief  
25 Restructuring Officer.

26

1       USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the  
2 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans  
3 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That  
4 business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that  
5 USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders”  
6 in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

7       On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third  
8 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
9 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this  
10 Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass  
11 Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the  
12 Brookmere Matteson Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

13       The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,  
14 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the  
15 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of  
16 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

17       Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
18 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
19 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP  
20 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
21 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
22 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
23 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
24 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

25       Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
26 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset

1 Resolution") and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset  
2 Resolution as a 'single purpose entity,' conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase  
3 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of  
4 Compass, including the Purchased Assets." (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is  
5 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-  
6 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>4</sup> William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed trustee in the  
7 Asset Resolution case.

8 Pursuant to a November 6, 2007 order from the Honorable Robert Jones in the  
9 District of Nevada case, the Brookmere Matteson Loan was abandoned by Compass.  
10 Subsequently, pursuant to a June 16, 2008 order, Tom Grimmett was appointed as the  
11 receiver for Brookmere Lenders, LLC, a limited liability company formed by the  
12 Brookmere Loan Direct Lenders. Furthermore, by an order entered on May 11, 2009, Mr.  
13 Grimmett was appointed as the "full equity Receiver for the Brookmere Loan interests."  
14 Counsel for the Trustee has been in contact with counsel for Mr. Grimmett regarding the  
15 Brookmere Matteson Loan.

16 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
17 court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information  
18 concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or  
19 their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust has  
20 also been in contract with Cross FLC about certain loans that it is servicing, but Cross  
21 FLC is not servicing the Brookmere Matteson Loan.<sup>5</sup> The following is the extent of the

22 <sup>4</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
23 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
24 Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
25 Brookmere Matteson SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

26 <sup>5</sup> By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in United States Bankruptcy Court (Case  
27 No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ), the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to Cross, FLS. The  
28 Brookmere Matteson Loan however, was not among the loans whose servicing rights were transferred to  
29 Cross, FLS.

1 USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Brookmere  
2 Matteson Loan.

3

4 **b. The Brookmere Matteson Loan**

5 USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding  
6 for an acquisition and development loan to borrowers identified as "Brookmere Matteson,  
7 LLC" and "Lord & Essex Matteson, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto  
8 as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan  
9 amount proposed was \$27,050,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First  
10 Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of  
11 trust on 156 acres to be developed into: finished single-family lots, single-family lots with  
12 grading and off-site improvements completed, and acreage devoted to commercial/retail  
13 property to be completed with infrastructure. The project was located at the Highway 57  
14 and Vollmer Road in Matteson, Illinois. The loan was intended to "retire existing debt on  
15 the property and provide for the backbone infrastructure of the property, as well as the  
16 completion of 334 residential lots." (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.)

17 On October 29, 2003, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including  
18 the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note" (the "Note") and a  
19 Construction Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement  
20 provided for a loan of \$27,050,000. *Id.* The Loan Agreement was subsequently modified  
21 to allow Borrower to place a junior mortgage on the property. *Id.* The Note was secured  
22 by (a) two "Assignment of Rents and Leases," one from Brookmere, LLC and one from  
23 Essex Matteson, LLC, each in favor of the Direct Lenders, granting the Direct Lenders a  
24 secured interest in the Borrowers' interest in any lease, or sublease, (b) two "Mortgage,  
25 Assignment of Rents and Security Agreements," one from Brookmere, LLC and one from  
26 Essex Matteson, LLC, each in favor of Direct Lenders, which were each recorded in the

1 official records of Cook County, Illinois on November 7, 2003, and (c) Security  
2 Agreements in favor the Direct Lenders providing a first priority security interest in all of  
3 the Borrowers' collective interest in their personal property, accounts, and other personal  
4 assets. *Id.* The Note was also supported by Guaranties signed by Christopher Smith and  
5 John Popp, as well as a Completion Guaranty signed by Christopher Smith and John Popp,  
6 who, pursuant to the Offer Sheet, "indicate a combined net worth in excess of \$20  
7 million." Christopher Smith and John Popp appear to have provided USACM personal  
8 financial statements in support of their indicated combined net worth. (Berman Decl., ¶  
9 5.)

10 The USACM "Loan Summary" dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows  
11 that Borrower was "Non-performing" on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶  
12 6.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM  
13 treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in  
14 accordance with this Court's orders and the confirmed Plan.

15 Counsel for the Trustee had a brief conversation with counsel for Tom Grimmett  
16 regarding the status of the Brookmere Matteson Loan. According to the receiver's  
17 counsel, the Direct Lenders continue to have an interest in the collateral, although the full  
18 extent of that interest is currently subject to an Illinois appeal of a trial verdict regarding  
19 the validity of certain releases granted after a refinancing of the Brookmere Matteson Loan  
20 by Botaba Realty Company, Ltd. *See Botaba Realty Company, Ltd. v. Harris Bank Joliet,*  
21 *N.A., as Trustee under a Trust Agreement dated September 17, 2002 and known as Trust*  
22 *Number HTJ8067, et al.*, Appellate Court of Illinois, Case Nos. 11-1379 and 11-1380.  
23 Moreover, the Direct Lenders received a partial pay down of the amounts owed to them  
24 upon that refinancing.

25  
26

### **c. The Brookmere Matteson Claims**

## II. JURISDICTION

12 The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and  
13 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
14 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

15 The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and  
16 Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

### III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

18       Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed  
19 will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof  
20 of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and  
21 shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the  
22 debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is  
23 contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is  
24 presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof  
25 of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of  
26 persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated*

1       *Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P.  
2       1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

3       **IV. THE OBJECTION**

4       The Brookmere Matteson Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length  
5       transaction. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a  
6       promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

7       USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the  
8       collateral.

9       The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have  
10      breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Brookmere  
11      Matteson Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the  
12      Brookmere Matteson Loan or take any other action.

13      To the extent the Court might disagree with the Trust's objection, the Direct  
14      Lenders' claims should be reduced by the amount of any recoveries received by those  
15      Direct Lenders as a result of the refinancing referenced above in Section I.B, subject to  
16      proof being provided to the Trustee and/or the Court.

17      This objection will only affect the Direct Lenders' proof of claim to the extent that  
18      it is based upon an investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan. This objection will not  
19      affect the proof of claim to the extent it is based upon an investment in a different loan.

20      This objection will not affect the Direct Lenders' right to be repaid on the  
21      Brookmere Matteson Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that  
22      secured the Brookmere Matteson Loan, or on the guarantees supporting the Brookmere  
23      Matteson Loan.

24       **V. CONCLUSION**

25      The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against  
26      USACM listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the

1 Brookmere Matteson Loan. This objection concerns only claims based upon an  
2 investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan and not any other claims of any of the Direct  
3 Lenders. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and  
4 proper.

5 Dated: July 19, 2011.

6 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

7 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)  
8 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593  
9 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
10 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979  
11 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
13 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com  
14 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

15 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
16 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
17 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
18 July 19, 2011 to all parties listed on  
19 Exhibit A attached.

20 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

21 s/ Marilyn Schoenike

22  
23  
24  
25  
26